Tying a molecular knot with optical tweezers

Yasuharu Arai*†, Ryohei Yasuda*†, Ken-ichirou Akashi*, Yoshie Harada*†, Hidetake Miyata*‡, Kazuhiko Kinosita Jr*† & Hiroyasu Itoh§†

* Department of Physics, Faculty of Science and Technology, Keio University, Hiyoshi 3-14-1, Kohoku-ku, Yokohama 223-8522, Japan
† CREST (Core Research for Evolutional Science and Technology) "Genetic Programming" Team 13, Nogawa 907, Miyamae-ku, Kawasaki 216-0001, Japan
§ Tsukuba Research Laboratory, Hamamatsu Photonics KK, Tokodai, Tsukuba 300–2635, Japan

Filamentous structures are abundant in cells. Relatively rigid filaments, such as microtubules and actin, serve as intracellular scaffolds that support movement and force, and their mechanical properties are crucial to their function in the cell. Some aspects of the behaviour of DNA, meanwhile, depend critically on its flexibility-for example, DNA-binding proteins can induce sharp bends in the helix¹. The mechanical characterization of such filaments has generally been conducted without controlling the filament shape, by the observation of thermal motions²⁻⁵ or of the response to external forces⁶⁻⁹ or flows¹⁰⁻¹². Controlled buckling of a microtubule has been reported¹³, but the analysis of the buckled shape was complicated. Here we report the continuous control of the radius of curvature of a molecular strand by tying a knot in it, using optical tweezers to manipulate the strand's ends. We find that actin filaments break at the knot when the knot diameter falls below 0.4 µm. The pulling force at breakage is around 1 pN, two orders of magnitude smaller than the tensile stress of a straight filament. The flexural rigidity of the filament remained unchanged down to this diameter. We have also knotted a single DNA molecule, opening up the possibility of studying curvaturedependent interactions with associated proteins. We find that the knotted DNA is stronger than actin.

To manipulate an actin filament, two polystyrene beads coated with myosin were held with dual-beam optical tweezers¹⁴. A fluorescent actin filament was moved toward the beads, by moving the sample stage; one end of the filament was attached to a bead, and then the other end to the other bead. Subsequently, one end was manipulated with the optical tweezers, as shown in Fig. 1, to form a knot. To facilitate the manipulation, the medium contained sucrose (50% by weight; 50 wt%) which suppressed the brownian motion. When the end was to cross the filament (Fig. 1, images 3, 5 and 7), the end beads were brought either up or down by changing the microscope focus while the remainder of the filament stayed at the same height in the medium. The process of knotting took <1 min. Note that the transparent grip by the optical tweezers allowed continuous manipulation during knotting, without a shift of the grip as would be required in knotting with fingers or mechanical tweezers.

Once knotted, the filament was pulled stepwise to reduce the diameter of the knot and, thus, to establish the relation between the tension and knot diameter. In Fig. 2a, the upper bead was moved upwards (Fig. 2b), while the lower bead was held weakly in a stationary trap. The tension on the filament was estimated from the displacement of the lower bead from the centre of the optical trap (Fig. 2c). Under a tension appreciably above the noise level, the knot diameter was too small to be resolved in the microscope image, so we estimated it in two ways. (1) We compared the intensity of the knotted region with that of a straight region (Fig. 2a). (2) We

measured the knot's diameter in the image when the diameter was $0.6-0.8 \,\mu$ m. Starting from this reference point, further increase in the distance between the end beads was equated to the decrease in the circumference of the knot (after correction for the apparent increase in the filament length at high tensions due to suppression of the brownian fluctuation, the amount of which was estimated in unknotted filaments). The two methods gave consistent results, although the latter method was subject to a larger error at the reference point.

In Fig. 2d, the tension (T) is plotted against the knot diameter (D) estimated from the fluorescence intensity. Data from 26 knotted filaments are combined. Although the scatter is large, the data are consistent with the smooth solid line representing the relation $T = 2\kappa/D^2$ expected for a homogeneous rod with a flexural rigidity κ . (The elastic energy U of a ring of diameter D formed from a straight cylinder is given¹⁵ by $U = 2\pi EI/D$, where E is the Young's modulus and I the moment of inertia of the rod $(EI = \kappa)$. Pulling a knotted cylinder by dL reduces the knot diameter by $dD = dL/\pi$, and thus the tension required is $T = - dU/dL = 2\kappa/D^2$.) The flexural rigidity calculated from this equation was $(5.5 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-26}$ N m² (mean ± standard error) over the plotted diameter range. This value is close to the rigidity reported for free actin filaments undergoing brownian motion³⁻⁵ of $(5.8-7.4) \times 10^{-26}$ N m², which corresponds to a persistence length $(= \kappa / k_{\rm B} T_{\rm A})$, where $k_{\rm B}$ is the Boltzmann constant and $T_{\rm A}$ the absolute temperature) of 14–18 μ m (an early estimate¹⁶ was ~6 μ m.) Thus, an actin filament bent to a radius of only 0.2 µm is as flexible as a free filament for which the radius of curvature induced by brownian motion is, on the average, tens of micrometres. An indirect estimate of κ for bent actin also supports this notion⁸.

When the knot diameter became ~0.36 μ m, or when the tension in the filament became ~0.9 pN, the filament broke at the knot (Figs 2a, 3a, 3b). This point of breakage for a knotted polymer is predicted theoretically¹⁷. The fracture occurred, in most filaments, within 10 s after the tension was raised to ~1 pN. The time elapsed before the fracture tended to be shorter for a higher tension, as in the case of unbinding of actin from myosin¹⁸ or α -actinin¹⁹, but the scatter in the present data precluded precise analysis of the time dependence. We were unable to break an unknotted actin filament: the filament was detached from a myosin-coated bead at a tension between 3–14 pN, consistent with the unbinding force between myosin and actin¹⁸ of ~10 pN. The tensile strength of straight actin filaments is⁹ ~600 pN. An actin filament thus resists straight pull



Figure 1 Tying a knot in an actin filament. Explanatory drawings are added in images 3–7. In images 3 and 7, the microscope focus was moved to below the filament to bring down the end beads which were trapped at the focus level; the focus was above the filament in image 5. Scale bar, 10 μ m.

[‡] Present address: Physics Department, Graduate School, Tohoku University, Aoba-ku, Sendai 980-8578, Japan.

but easily yields to bending. The critical tension of $\sim 1 \text{ pN}$ for knotted actin did not change appreciably in three sets of conditions that potentially increase the stability of an actin filament: an increase in the KCl concentration to 100 mmol per litre of the solution containing 50% sucrose, the addition of MgCl₂ at 5 mmol per litre, or the incubation with ~ 60 -fold molar excess of phalloidin for 8 h. Also, changing the duration of fluorescence excitation, which might cause photo-damage, between 2 and 10 min did not affect the results. Thus, the small fracture strength of knotted and bent filaments is unlikely to be an experimental artefact. Twisting, too, greatly reduces the fracture strength of actin filaments⁹: 10- μ m



Figure 2 Relation between the tension (T) and knot diameter (D). a, Fluorescence images of the filament. D was estimated from the background corrected intensities per pixel, Iknot and Istraight, averaged over respective rectangles: $D = (l_{knot} - l_{straight}) L_{knot} / \pi l_{straight}$ where L_{knot} is the length of the rectangle enclosing the knot portion. Scale bar, 10 μ m. **b**, Movement of the upper bead trapped at a stiffness of 0.086 pN nm⁻¹. c, Displacement of the lower bead in a trap at 0.0086 pN nm⁻¹. T equals the displacement times the stiffness. The filament broke at the knot at 415 s. The abscissa is the time elapsed after knotting, during which several pull-release cycles were made. d, Tension-diameter relationship for 26 actin filaments. Data for one filament are connected with red lines, in the order of observation, to demonstrate that the knot diameter changed reversibly in response to the tension change. Blue lines represent $T = 2\kappa D^2$ for $\kappa = (5.5 \pm 3.6) \times 10^{-26} \text{ Nm}^2$; solid line, the average; dashed lines, standard deviations for the 356 data points. Estimated uncertainty for each point is ±0.2 pN in T (mainly due to drift in the trap position) and $\pm 0.2 \,\mu$ m in D (from the differences in D values obtained by the two methods explained in text).

filaments twisted by only one turn in either direction gave a tensile strength of $\sim 200 \,\mathrm{pN}$, a threefold reduction from the strength of straight actin.

At the knot diameter of 0.36 µm (Fig. 3c), the amount of shear per actin monomer is ~ 0.15 nm at the filament radius of 2.5 nm, half the maximal radius of an actin filament²⁰. This relatively small shear, about half the interatomic distances, appears to be sufficient to break the bonds between actin monomers on the timescale of seconds. If an actin filament is modelled as a homogeneous rod with a flexural rigidity of 5.5×10^{-26} N m², a lateral pull of only 2.8 pN at the centre between two supports separated by $0.35 \,\mu m$ (Fig. 3d) would produce a curvature with a radius of 0.18 µm. Even a single myosin molecule can produce this much force²¹. Actin filaments in a cell may be easily broken if forces act perpendicularly on them; for example, through α -actinin¹⁹. (Note that the rigid supports in Fig. 3d were introduced for the purpose of calculation. The supports exert a force of 1.6 pN in the direction perpendicular to the filament. The combination of these two forces wit the pull of 2.8 pN at the top is sufficient to produce the radius of curvature of $0.18 \,\mu m$.)

A single molecule of DNA has been knotted similarly (Fig. 4); even multiple knots could be introduced in a single DNA molecule (not shown). To facilitate knotting, unstrained actin filaments were added to the medium at 10 μ mol kg⁻¹ in addition to 46 wt% sucrose (unstained DNA may also serve this purpose, because inadvertent knotting was observed in a thick solution of DNA¹⁰). Thus, the knot encircled many actin filaments, and the filaments were bundled when the DNA was pulled taut. This is evident in Fig. 4, where the knotted DNA was first pulled upwards (image 15) and released (image 16), then pulled downwards (image 17) and released (image 18). The knot position remained unchanged during the total of three such cycles (the additional cycles are not shown), indicating that bundled filaments in the knot prevented the knot from moving. We have been unable to break knotted or unknotted DNA with the optical tweezers. One of the beads escaped from the optical trap, as shown in Fig. 4 (images 4-5 and 21-24), indicating that the fracture strength was greater than 15 pN. Unknotted DNA has been reported to transform into an overstretched state^{6,7} at 65-70 pN and to break¹¹ at 476 pN.

At present, knotting takes tens of seconds and hence requires a



Figure 3 Breakage parameters of a knotted actin filament. **a**, Critical knot diameter; **b**, tension at breakage. The average ± standard deviation are shown (n = 24). **c**, A highly schematic diagram of an actin filament bent to a radius of curvature, r, of 0.18 µm. Ellipsoids represent actin monomers. **d**, Force *f* required to bend a rod supported at two points¹⁵. For the support separation L_0 of 0.35 µm, f = 2.8 pN produces r = 0.18 µm at the pulled point. (After correcting typographic errors in ref. 15, we obtained a relation $f = (El/r^2) \tan \theta_0$, where θ_0 is a parameter (the angle between **f** and the filament at the support edge) determined by $L_0/r = \int_{\pi/2}^{\pi/2} d\theta[2(\cos\theta_0/\cos\theta)^{1/2}\cos(\theta - \theta_0)].$)

letters to nature



Figure 4 Knotting a single DNA molecule. Two beads were held with optical tweezers, and a DNA molecule stained with POPO-3 was attached to the lower bead by moving the microscope stage (images 1 and 2). The stage was moved upwards to pull the DNA, and the other end was attached to the upper bead (image 3). The upper bead was then moved with the optical tweezers to straighten the DNA (4) and to confirm firm attachment; the upper bead escaped from the trap when the upper trap went too far (4 and 5). After re-trapping, a knot was made as in Fig. 1a (6-14). The knot diameter was made smaller and larger by moving the upper bead up (15) and down (16), or moving the lower bead down (17) and up (18). After two more cycles (not shown), the upper bead was brought up until it escaped from the trap without rupture in the DNA (21-24). The knot diameter in image 21 is estimated as <0.2 μ m from its intensity. Scale bar, 10 μ m.

medium with a high effective viscosity which suppresses the brownian motion. However, rapid knotting should be possible by electronically programming the movement of the optical tweezers (and the microscope stage). If the viscosity was made low enough, it should be possible to introduce, after knotting, a different solution containing, for example, a protein that would interact with the filament. At least two applications of our technique are conceivable, other than its use for the mechanical measurements described here. One is to study the curvature dependence of the interaction of intracellular filaments with associated proteins or ligands. For example, RNA polymerase has been shown to be released from DNA when the DNA is pulled straight²². Measurements of association constants at precisely controlled curvatures are desirable; such measurements are applicable to the study of the dynamics of cytoskeletal networks, where many protein molecules interact with cytoskeletal filaments. The other possibility is to use a knot as a manipulation tool, as in the bundling of actin filaments by DNA. It might be possible to constrict a cell into halves by tying a knot round it, for mimicking cytokinesis or for artificial compartmentalization; or one could constrict a cell protrusion such as a dendrite. The force from optical tweezers may not be strong enough for such operations, but it may be possible to make three knots in a single DNA molecule and, using the two knots at the ends, fasten the DNA string to two microneedles (coated with suitable glue) attached to manipulators.

Methods

Materials. Rabbit skeletal actin and myosin were prepared²³ and stained²⁴ with phalloidin-tetramethylrhodamine B isothiocyanate conjugate (Fluka). Aminopolystyrene beads (0.9 μm diameter, Polysciences) were covalently bound with myosin⁸. To tie an actin knot, a solution containing, per kg, 500 g of sucrose, 7 mmol imidazole (pH 7.4), 7 mmol KCl, 3 mmol 2-mercaptoethanol, 5 g glucose, 0.3 g glucose oxidase, 0.07 g catalase, 7 mg myosin-coated beads, and 0.15 nmol labelled F-actin was put into an observation chamber²⁴ pretreated with a solution of BSA (10 mg ml⁻¹). λ-phage DNA was biotinylated at both ends²² and attached to streptavidin-coated beads²² (0.95 μm) in a solution containing, per kg, 460 g sucrose, 6 mmol HEPES (pH 7.4), 17 mmol KCl, 0.6 mmol EDTA, 7 mmol 2-mercaptoethanol, 2 g glucose, 0.1 g glucose oxidase, 0.02 g catalase, 7 mg streptavidin-coated beads, 0.1 g α-casein, 13 μmol F-actin, 7 pmol DNA, and 70 nmol POPO-3 (Molecular Probes).

Microscopy. Actin filaments and DNA were knotted using an inverted fluorescence microscope (Diaphot TMD, Nikon) equipped with dual optical tweezers and two video cameras for simultaneous observation of phase-contrast images of beads and fluorescence images of actin filaments or DNA¹⁴. The trap stiffness of the tweezers was measured after each experiment by trapping a free bead at a reduced laser intensity and observing the width of the brownian motion within the trap¹⁴; correction for the finite temporal resolution of the video camera was not necessary because the bead motion was slow in the viscous media. The position of a bead was measured as the centroid of the phase-contrast image of the bead. Temperature, 23 ± 3 °C.

Received 8 February; accepted 13 April 1999

- Schultz, S. C., Shields, G. C. & Steitz, T. A. Crystal structure of a CAP-DNA complex: the DNA is bent by 90°. Science 253, 1001–1007 (1991).
- Yanagida, M., Hiraoka, Y. & Katsura, I. Dynamic behaviors of DNA molecules in solution studied by fluorescence microscopy. *Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol.* 47, 177–187 (1983).
- Yanagida, T., Nakase, M., Nishiyama, K. & Oosawa, F. Direct observation of motion of single F-actin filaments in the presence of myosin. *Nature* 307, 58–60 (1984).
- Gittes, F., Mickey, B., Nettleton, J. & Howard, J. Flexural rigidity of microtubules and actin filaments measured from thermal fluctuations in shape. J. Cell Biol. 120, 923–934 (1993).
- Yasuda, R., Miyata, H. & Kinosita, K. Jr Direct measurement of the torsional rigidity of single actin filaments. J. Mol. Biol. 263, 227–236 (1996).
- 6. Cluzel, P. et al. DNA: an extensible molecule. Science 271, 792–794 (1996).
- Smith, S. B., Cui, Y. & Bustamante, C. Overstretching B-DNA: the elastic response of individual double-stranded and single-stranded DNA molecules. *Science* 271, 795–799 (1996).
- Dupuis, D. E., Guilford, W. H., Wu, J. & Warshaw, D. M. Actin filament mechanics in the laser trap. J. Muscle Res. Cell Motil. 18, 17–30 (1997).
- Tsuda, Y., Yasutake, H., Ishijima, A. & Yanagida, T. Torsional rigidity of single actin filaments and actin-actin bond breaking force under torsion measured directly by *in vitro* micromanipulation. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 93, 12937–12942 (1996).
- Perkins, T. T., Quake, S. R., Smith, D. E. & Chu, S. Relaxation of a single DNA molecule observed by optical microscopy. *Science* 264, 822–826 (1994).
- Bensimon, D., Simon, A. J., Croquette, V. & Bensimon, A. Stretching DNA with a receding meniscus: experiments and models. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 74, 4754–4757 (1995).
- Smith, D. E. & Chu, S. Response of flexible polymers to a sudden elongational flow. *Science* 281, 1335– 1340 (1998).
- Kurachi, M., Hoshi, M. & Tashiro, H. Buckling of a single microtubule by optical trapping forces: direct measurement of microtubule rigidity. *Cell Motil. Cytoskel.* 30, 221–228 (1995).
- Miyata, H. et al. Mechanical measurements of single actomyosin motor force. Biophys. J. 68, 286S– 2908 (1995).
- 15. Landau, L. D. & Lifshitz, E. M. Theory of Elasticity 2nd edn (Pergamon, Oxford, 1970).
- Oosawa, F., Fujime, S., Ishiwata, S. & Mihashi, K. Dynamic property of F-actin and thin filament. Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol. 37, 277–285 (1973).
- Saitta, A. M., Soper, P. D., Wasserman, E. & Klein, M. L. Influence of a knot on the strength of a polymer strand. *Nature* 399, 46–48 (1999).
- Nishizaka, T., Miyata, H., Yoshikawa, H., Ishiwata, S. & Kinosita, K. Jr Unbinding force of a single motor molecule of muscle measured using optical tweezers. *Nature* 377, 251–254 (1995).
- Miyata, H., Yasuda, R. & Kinosita, K. Jr Strength and lifetime of the bond between actin and skeletal muscle α-actinin studied with an optical trapping technique. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1290, 83–88 (1996).
- Holmes, K. C., Popp, D., Gebhard, W. & Kabsch, W. Atomic model of the actin filament. Nature 347, 44–49 (1990).
- Finer, J. T., Simmons, R. M. & Spudich, J. A. Single myosin molecule mechanics: piconewton forces and nanometre steps. *Nature* 368, 113–119 (1994).
- Harada, Y. et al. Single-molecule imaging of RNA polymerase-DNA interactions in real time. Biophys. J. 76, 709–715 (1999).
- Suzuki, N., Miyata, H., Ishiwata, S. & Kinosita, K. Jr Preparation of bead-tailed actin filaments: estimation of the torque produced by the sliding force in an in vitro motility assay. *Biophys. J.* 70, 401– 408 (1996).
- Yasuda, R., Noji, H., Kinosita, K. Jr & Yoshida, M. F₁-ATPase is a highly efficient molecular motor that rotates with discrete 120° steps. *Cell* 93, 1117–1124 (1998).

Acknowledgements. We thank K. Adachi and Y. Hada for technical support and advice, S. Ishiwata for critically reading the manuscript, M. Hosoda for image processing, and H. Umezawa for laboratory management. This work was supported in part by Grants-in-Aid from Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture of Japan, an Academic Frontier Promotional Project, and a Keio University Special Grant-in-Aid. R.Y. was a Research Fellow of the JSPS.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Y.H. at Keio University.